

Estimated Fiscal Impact of Bill # SB 18 S1 1/30/2009Short Title Utah Transparency Advisory Board Amendments

Contact	Von Hortin	Title	Audit/Finance Specialist
Agency: State Office of Education		Phone	801-538-7670

Short Form

Use only when there is no appropriation needed for state agencies, and no fiscal impact on state revenues, local governments, businesses, or individuals.

If the bill looks like it should have a fiscal note, explain why it does not. For example, a bill might put into code something that is already current practice.

Attachments welcome.

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | State agencies will not require an appropriation to implement the bill. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | There is no fiscal impact on local governments. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | There is no fiscal impact on businesses |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | There is no fiscal impact on individuals. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | The bill will not affect revenues. |

Explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.

A. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact?

Cite specific sections or line numbers.

Lines 193 & 196 would require the districts to have and maintain a website with searchable data. If the requirement were to simply provide the data for use in the state website the costs would go down dramatically. Also if the data were better defined at this point a better cost estimate could be provided.

B. Which program gets the appropriation?

(Approp. Unit Code)

(To appropriate to an additional program use an additional form.) This is ____ of ____.

C. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?

Assume that a legislator calls you in to explain how you came up with your fiscal impact and these are the only notes you get to take with you.

List all costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what \$50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

The bill has been changed from the original and allows districts to post information to the state operated and supported web site. If a district chooses to host the data and search engines on it's own web-site the cost would be borne locally. The costs would be the personnel costs to gather data and get it into a format that would allow posting on the state web-site. This would also be time intensive to gather data from individual school checking accounts.

Fiscal Impact Tables

Current Budget Year
FY 2009

Coming Budget Year
FY 2010

Future Budget Year
FY 2011

D. If this is a revenue bill, show impacts here. (Select funds from drop-down menu.)

Total	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

E. Show Costs to Implement the Bill by Fund (Select funds from drop-down menu.)

Other	336,000	300,000	300,000
Total	<u>\$336,000</u>	<u>\$300,000</u>	<u>\$300,000</u>

F. Show Costs to Implement the Bill by Expense Category.

Personal Services	\$276,000	\$300,000	\$300,000
Travel			
Current Expense			
DP Current Expense	60,000		
DP Capital Outlay			
Capital Outlay			
Other/Pass Thru			
Total	<u>\$336,000</u>	<u>\$300,000</u>	<u>\$300,000</u>

G. How will the bill impact local governments?

Your estimate of the bill's impact on local governments.

Attachments welcome.

Local school districts would need to participate and provide data to the state as well as create their own websites with searchable data. Charter Schools would most likely be unaffected as the provisions on lines 162-164 would probably exempt most of them from any reporting--with the exception of American Leadership Academy.

H. How will the bill impact businesses?

Your estimate of the bill's impact on businesses.

Attachments welcome.

I. How will the bill impact individuals?

Your estimate of the bill's impact on individuals.

Attachments welcome.

This is a draft fiscal note response from the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future.

LFA 11.20.08

F:\USERS\SHARED\Fiscal Notes\2009 Fiscal Note Worksheet V2.1

UTAH STATE OFFICE OF EDUCATION
Schedule of SB 18 Costs
As estimated by USOE

		One-Time	On-Going	Total	Revised
1	Alpine	\$ 115,336	\$ 37,951	\$ 153,287	\$ 20,000
2	Beaver	15,000	25,000	40,000	2,000
3	Box Elder	90,866	7,500	98,366	2,000
4	Cache	78,000	97,300	175,300	5,000
5	Carbon			-	2,000
6	Dagget			-	2,000
7	Davis	50,000	102,000	152,000	20,000
8	Duchesne	27,000	8,500	35,500	2,000
9	Emery			-	2,000
10	Garfield	30,000	68,000	98,000	2,000
11	Grand		6,900	6,900	2,000
12	Granite	13,617	20,010	33,627	20,000
13	Iron	3,712	80,038	83,750	2,000
14	Jordan	20,000	8,900	28,900	20,000
15	Juab			-	2,000
16	Kane			-	2,000
17	Millard	7,000	46,275	53,275	2,000
18	Morgan	15,000	25,000	40,000	2,000
19	Nebo	2,500	14,400	16,900	20,000
20	North Sanpete			-	2,000
21	North Summit			-	2,000
22	Park City			-	5,000
23	Piute			-	2,000
24	Rich			-	2,000
25	San Juan	25,000	50,850	75,850	2,000
26	Sevier	108,576	50,000	158,576	2,000
27	South Sanpete			-	2,000
28	South Summit	1,800	12,000	13,800	2,000
29	Tintic	-	3,000	3,000	2,000
30	Tooele			-	5,000
31	Uintah			-	2,000
32	Wasatch			-	2,000
33	Washington	1,500,000	600,000	2,100,000	20,000
34	Wayne			-	2,000
35	Weber	3,000	1,500	4,500	20,000
36	Salt Lake	50,000	65,000	115,000	20,000
37	Ogden			-	2,000
38	Provo	24,192	7,500	31,692	20,000
39	Logan			-	2,000
40	Murray			-	2,000
	USOE for FIS Districts	1,700,000	450,000	2,150,000	60,000
	Charters	23,200	232,000	255,200	25,000
	Total Districts	\$ 3,903,799	\$ 2,019,624	\$ 5,923,423	\$ 336,000

Please note many of the small districts without a cost are supported by the USOE FIS system and are included in the line just below Murray SD.